# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,894	07/17/2003	Georg Watzek	35931-PCT-USA-A 1493 071986.02	
21003 BAKER BOTT	7590 09/14/200°	7	EXAMINER	
		A FORMOVAL VERM		
30 ROCKEFEI	LEK PLAZA		AFREMOVA, VERA	
44TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, I	NEW YORK, NY 10112-4498			112 21110112211
			1657	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2007	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DLNYDOCKET@BAKERBOTTS.COM

	•	·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	10/621,894	WATZEK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vera Afremova	1657				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		• '				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju	<u>ıly 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-26</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-26</u> is/are rejected.	·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents		)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	~ ·	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I	ate				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/19/2007</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/621,894

Art Unit: 1657

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

New claims 17-26 (7/17/2007) are pending and under examination in the instant office action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

New claims 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,165,938 (Kinghton) for the same reasons as explained in the prior office action.

Claims are directed to a drug composition for topical application as intended for wound healing wherein the composition comprises microparticles from activated thrombocytes and extracellular matrix material. The microparticles are prepared by activating thrombocytes with an activating agent selected from collagen, thrombin, calcium ionophore A23187 or C5b-9 in a liquid medium and by collecting the microparticles from the liquid medium by centrifugation, filtration or chromatography. Some claimed are further drawn to the virus inactivation and/or depletion in the drug product-obtained-by-process. Some claims are further drawn to the presence of matrix materials, collagen, fibrinogen, thrombin and/or organic polymers and inorganic compounds in the drug composition. Some claims are further drawn to incorporation of biocompatible materials into the drug composition or to a metal surface treated with the drug composition.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,894

Art Unit: 1657

US 5,165,938 (Kinghton) discloses a drug composition produced from blood and intended for topical application and wound healing (abstract). The drug composition contains "microparticles" derived from platelet-rich plasma after activation with collagen and centrifugation. The "microparticles" are mixed with microcrystalline collagens and frozen (col. 2, lines 20-55 or col.3, lines 25-44). The drug composition is made under sterile condition (col.3, line 26). Blood is collected from normal patients that are not diagnosed with viral diseases and, thus, virus depleted or virus free. The cited patent discloses that drug composition contains growth factors PDAF and PDGF or substances promoting wound healing. Fibrinogen and thrombin are inherent components of a product derived from platelet rich plasma. Proteins and/or glycoproteins of platelet rich plasma fall within the meaning of generic organic polymers as claimed. The drug composition contains inorganic compounds or inorganic salts (col. 3, line 42). The cited patent teaches the use of composition in conjunction with either biodegradable dressings or with some implantable devices (col. 4, lines 32-35).

Thus, the cited patent anticipates the claimed invention.

New claims 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,185,160 (Chao) in the light of evidence by Exner et al. (Blood Coagulation and Fibrinolysis. 2003, 14:773-779) for the same reasons as explained in the prior office action.

Claims as above.

US 5,185,160 (Chao) discloses a pharmaceutical composition suitable to treat wounds (col. 3, line 34) and comprising viral-inactivated blood platelet membrane microparticle fractions (abstract). The microparticle fractions are made by activation of platelets by repeated freezing

thawing and the microparticle fractions are separated or collected by centrifugation (col. 4, lines 1-60). Exner et al. evidence the inherent fact that freezing-thawing activates platelets, for example: see abstract. The product of the cited US 5,185,160 is subjected to virus inactivation by heat treatment (abstract and col. 4, lines 40-45). Proteins and/or glycoproteins (GPIb, for example: col. 5, line 11) in the final preparation of US 5,185,160 as disclosed fall within the meaning of generic extracellular matrix materials and/or biocompatible materials. The cited preparation with microparticles is suitable for wound healing (col. 3, line 34).

Thus, the cited patent US 5,185,160 (Chao) anticipates the claimed invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

New claim 17-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,165,938 (Kinghton) taken with US 5,185,160 (Chao), US 5,552,290 (Michelson et al) and US 5,697,980 (Otani et al.).

Claims are directed to a drug composition for topical application as intended for wound healing wherein the composition comprises microparticles from activated thrombocytes and extracellular matrix material. The microparticles are prepared by activating thrombocytes with an activating agent selected from collagen, thrombin, calcium ionophore A23187 or C5b-9 in a liquid medium and by collecting the microparticles from the liquid medium by centrifugation,

filtration or chromatography. Some claimed are further drawn to the virus inactivation and/or depletion in the drug product-obtained-by-process. Some claims are further drawn to the presence of matrix materials, collagen, fibrinogen, thrombin and/or organic polymers and inorganic compounds in the drug composition. Some claims are further drawn to incorporation of biocompatible materials into the drug composition including titanium and apatite. Some claims are further drawn to a metal surface treated with the drug composition.

US 5,165,938 (Kinghton) and US 5,185,160 (Chao) are relied upon as explained above for the disclosure of drug compositions intended for wound healing and comprising microparticles derived from the activated platelets and extracellular matrix carriers. The microparticles are derived from the activated platelets and collected by centrifugation in the drug compositions disclosed by US 5,165,938 (Kinghton) and US 5,185,160 (Chao). The cited products are made under sterile conditions, thereby, being free of contaminants or viral infection. In particular, US 5,185,160 (Chao) teaches the drug composition is subjected to viral inactivation.

US 5,165,938 (Kinghton) teaches that the microparticles are made by activating platelets with an activating agent such as collagen. In addition, the cited US 5,552,290 is relied upon for the teaching that the platelet-derived microparticles are made by activation of platelets with various activating agents including collagen, thrombin, ionophore A23187 and protein C5b-9 (col. 1, lines 43-45 and col.3, lines 4-13).

US 5,165,938 (Kinghton) teaches incorporation of microparticles derived from the activated platelets into wound dressing materials and into coating materials over the devices

Application/Control Number: 10/621,894

Art Unit: 1657

utilized in surgical procedures that would include at least some surgical metal devices and/or instruments.

But the cited patents are missing particular disclosure about the use of titanium, apatite and organic polymers as materials for carriers and/or medical devices. However, US 5,697,980 (Otani et al.) teaches artificial filling and prosthetic device(s) capable of adhering to tissues or to wounded tissues wherein the materials include titanium core coated with calcium phosphate (apatite) and organic polymers including polycaprolactone or polyactone. For example: see abstract; col. 2, line 26 and lines 37-40; col. 3, lines 33-45 and col. 4, lines 10-17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to add various carriers, fillings, biodegradable materials and devices including titanium, apatite and organic polymers to modify the drug compositions taught by US 5,165,938 (Kinghton) and/or US 5,185,160 (Chao) as suggested by US 5,165,938 (Kinghton) with a reasonable expectation of success in wound healing because the claimed carriers and materials are known and used for making artificial filling, carriers and medical devices as adequately demonstrated by US 5,697,980 (Otani et al.). One of skill in the art would have been motivated to adjust carrier compositions of US 5,165,938 (Kinghton) and of US 5,185,160 (Chao) with regard to a mode of administration for the expected benefits in wound healing and/or in bleeding reduction as provided by microparticles derived from blood platelets. The knowledge about the use of various platelet activating agents for making and collecting the platelet derived microparticles is available in the prior art as adequately demonstrated by US 5,552,290 (Michelson et al).

Thus, the claimed invention as a whole was clearly *prima facie* obvious, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

The claimed subject matter fails to patentably distinguish over the state art as represented be the cited references. Therefore, the claims are properly rejected under 35 USC § 103.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/19/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,165,938 (Kinghton) applicants argue that the claimed invention requires collection of "microparticles" from the liquid medium by centrifugation but the cited product is not obtained by separation from the supernatant into which it is released by the activated platelets )response page 6). This is not found true. The cited reference clearly teaches that the activated platelet rich plasma is subjected to a removal of platelets and fibrin by centrifugation and that the resulting supernatant contains molecules or "microparticles" such as PDGF and PDAF that are released from the activated platelets (col. 2, lines 31-40 and col. 3, lines 59-67). The cited final product such as the PDGF and PDAF-containing supernatant has the wound healing effects as the claimed product. Thus, the final product is the same the claimed product-obtained-by-process within the meaning of the claims. Neither specification nor claims define the structure of "microparticles" released from the activated platelets in order to distinguish between materials separated during centrifugation after activation of platelets as argued.

With regard to the claim rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,185,160 (Chao) applicants main argument is directed to the idea that the Chao's patent refers to "microparticles" that are not the same as the "microparticles" of the present invention because the starting platelets utilized in the Chao's method are not activated by the claimed activating agents (response page 8). This argument is not found persuasive because the product-by-process claims are not limited to the manipulations of the recited steps, only the final structure implied by the steps. MPEP 2113. The Choa's "microparticles" are platelet membrane microvesicles (see title) that are obtained by repeated freezing-thawing cycles. The inherent fact that platelets are activated by freezing-thawing is evidenced by Exner et al., for example: see abstract. Accordingly to the Horstman's definitions (IDS reference) microparticles are membrane vesicles or membrane fractions released by platelets during activation and they have procoagulant activity and PF3 activity (see page 113, col.1, par. 1 and par. 3). The Chao's patent teaches exactly the same preparation of platelet-derived microparticles as defined by Horstman (IDS reference) that are platelet membrane microparticle fractions (col. 2, lines 26-27), that have procoagulant activity (col. 2, line 38) and they have PF3 activity (col. 3, line 18). Thus, the microparticles of Chao have the same structure or the same "moieties" as the applicants' claimed microparticles and they have the same activity as the applicants' microparticles as argued, as defined in the asfield specification and in the light of the prior art definitions.

With regard to claim rejection under 35 USC § 103 applicants argue that there is no suggestion, motivation and/or reasonable expectation in success for the combination of cited references (response pages 9-10).

However, the cited references are in the same field of endeavor such as drug compositions intended for wound healing and comprising the platelet-derived microparticles and they seek to solve the same problems as the instant application and claims such as provide for the wound healing and comprising the platelet-derived microparticles, and one of skill in the art is free to select components available in the prior art, *In re* Winslow, 151 USPO 48 (CCPA, 1966).

Page 9

No claims are allowed.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vera Afremova whose telephone number is (571) 272-0914. The examiner can normally be reached from Monday to Friday from 9.30 am to 6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon P. Weber, can be reached at (571) 272-0925.

The fax phone number for the TC 1600 where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology center 1600, telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Vera Afremova,

AU 1657

September 7, 2007

VERA AFREMOVA

V. Afrema

PRIMARY EXAMINER